REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action mailed June 28, 2006. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and pending claims are respectfully requested.

I.   Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication that claims 6-8 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In that it is believed that every rejection has been overcome, it is respectfully submitted that each of the claims that remains in the case is presently in condition for allowance.

II.   Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1-4, 9-11, 13-17, 19-23, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, and 34-37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Parulski, et al.* ("Parulski," U.S. Pat. No. 7,027,172). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

As indicated above, Applicant has amended each independent claim through this Response. In view of those amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections are moot as having been drawn against Applicant's claims in another form. Therefore, Applicant requests that the rejections be withdrawn.

Turning to the merits of Applicant's claims, as amended, Applicant notes that Parulski at least does not teach receiving selection of graphical data representing a particular graphic to be transmitted to "and only displayed by" an electrical device or facilitating transmission of the graphical data such that the electrical device can display the particular graphic "each time a given

-11-

electrical device state is entered". Instead, Parulski teaches transmitting captured images to a printing device and presenting those images as print previews in a display of the printing device to facilitate printing of the images. Therefore, the images are not "only displayed by" an electrical device (i.e., they are displayed *and* printed) and the images are not displayed "*each time a given electrical device state is entered*" (emphasis added).

III.   Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 5, 12, and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Parulski* in view of *Armstrong, et al.* ("Armstrong," U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0256941). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

As is identified above, Parulski does not teach aspects of Applicant's claims. In that Armstrong does not remedy the deficiencies of the Parulski reference, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 5, 12, and 18 are allowable over the Parulski/Armstrong combination for at least the same reasons that claims 1, 9, and 14 are allowable over Parulski.

IV.   Canceled Claims

Claims 16, 21, 24, 27, 30, and 33 have been canceled from the application without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer. Applicant reserves the right to present these canceled claims, or variants thereof, in continuing applications to be filed subsequently.

V.   New Claims

Claims 38-40 have been added into the application through this Response. Applicant

respectfully submits that these new claims describe an invention novel and unobvious in view of

the prior art of record and, therefore, respectfully requests that these claims be held to be allowable.

-13-

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

_____

David Rodack
Registration No. 47,034

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
UNDER 37 CFR §1.8

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted on the date indicated below via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

Date: _____9-28-06_____

Signature - _____

-14-